

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

cu

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,934	12/30/2005	Tadao Nakaya	NFA-0214	8592	
74384 Cheng Law Gro	7590 02/06/200	8	EXAMINER		
1100 17th Street, N.W.			CROUSE, BRETT ALAN		
Suite 503 Washington, De	C 20036	·.	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1794		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/06/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/562,934	NAKAYA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	~			
		Brett A. Crouse	1794	•			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 De	ecember 2005					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
′=	<u> </u>						
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
<u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-16</u> is/are pending in the app	dication					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	in from consideration.		•			
·							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-16</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requireme	nt.				
	•	and/or election requireme	nt.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) 🔲 🤈	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) Dobjected to b	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	l21(d).			
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/562,934

Art Unit: 1794

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, drawn to a polymer.

Group II, claim(s) 2, drawn to a method of making a polymer via a dehydrohalogenation route.

Group III, claim(s) 3, drawn to a method of making a polymer via an acetylation route.

Group IV, claim(s) 5-16, drawn to an electroluminescent element.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II, III, IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The inventions listed as Groups I, II, III, IV lack a common special technical feature which is novel over the prior art. Attention is directed to Yang et al., Journal of Polymer Science, Part A, (2003), Volume 41, Number 5, Pages 674-683.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1794

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brett A. Crouse whose telephone number is 571-272-6494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terell H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,934

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BAC/ 22 January 2008

TERREL MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 4

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700**